

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/634,207		08/09/2000	Dennis A. Carson	103.022US1	4171
21186	7590	11/15/2002			
SCHWEG	MAN, LU	INDBERG, WO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAPO		55402		TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1624	19
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷ 😽							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	055 4-45 0	09/634,207	CARSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tamthom N. Truong	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 S	<u>Ceptember 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims						
,	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s)is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>12, 13, and 16-23</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)[] <i>A</i>	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.9</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1624

FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 9-6-02 has been considered. Although the amended claims have overcome the rejections of 112/2nd paragraph and 102 [based on **Brocks et. al.** and **Vigano et. al.** (US'741)], the proviso in the amended claim 1 has no support in the instant disclosure. Because no terminal disclaimer has been filed, the double patenting rejection remains outstanding.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The proviso in claim 1 lacks description in the instant disclosure in term of a preferred embodiment. There is no guidance to lead one skilled in the art to select the claimed subgenus. Even a negative limitation requires description, *Ex Parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393.

Application/Control Number: 09/634,207 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1, 6, 10, 11, 14, and 15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 12, and 20 of copending Application No. 09/360,020; and over claims 1, 3, 4, and 13 of copending Application No. 09/589,476. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for reasons stated in the previous action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 12, 13, and 16-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The references of record do not teach a method of treating cancer using compounds similar to those claimed herein.
- 4. **Non-elected Subject Matter:** This application contains claims 24-48 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/634,207

Art Unit: 1624

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-4485. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

T. Truong

November 12, 2002

MUKUND J. SHAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800